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Why are we discussing workflow?

Exascale is driving tighter integration!

Economics are changing the landscape!

Premise:

Everything is a workflow!
- and interact with other workflows
Initial Focus - The Application Stack (as it pertains to the Data Stack)

However there are others:
- Data Stack - detail
- System Stack
- others
What are the Application Workflows?

• Begin to understand what we are doing at a larger level
• Providing computational and data use workflows to industry partners working toward developing exascale architecture plans – Fast Forward/Design forward projects
• Provide use cases to provide vendors for platform purchasing efforts. Cray, IBM, others. NNSA ATS-3 RFP.
• Provide a taxonomy for code development teams and users to discuss aspects of system
• Provide map of use cases for production computing groups to better tune the environment
• Form a base understanding for development of interface points across the HPC environment
• Documenting how a system works for understanding and training
• Establish a map for workflow performance assessment efforts
• Etc. - There are others
Workflow Layers within the Application Execution Stack

Layer 0 – **Campaign / Pipeline layer.** Process through time of repeated Job Run layer jobs with changes to approach, physics and data needs as a campaign or project is completed. Working through phases.

Layer 1 – **Job Run layer.** Application to application that constitute a suite job run series, which may include closely coupled applications and decoupled ones that provide an end-to-end repeatable process with differing input parameters. This is where there is user and system interaction, constructed to find an answer to a specific science question. Layer 0 and 1 are from the perspective of a end user.

Layer 2 – **Application layer.** Within an application that may include one or more packages with differing computational and data requirements. Interacts across memory hierarchy to archival targets. The subcomponents of an application \{P1..Pn\} are meant to model various aspects of the physics; Layer 1 and 2 are the part of the workflow that incorporates the viewpoint of the scientist.

Layer 3 – **Package layer.** This describes the algorithm implementation and processing of kernels within a package and associated interaction with various levels of memory, cache levels and the overall underlying platform. This layer is the domain of the computer scientist and is where the software and hardware first interact.
The Taxonomy
What is the Taxonomy?

A description language:
- Wanted to capture flow - visually
- Incorporated data elements and data layers
- Defined a structure to describe relationships
- Templates to collect information
- Process to continue validation and reassessment
The Campaign / Pipe Line Series workflow layer is used to describe how job sequences are run within a project pipeline complete studies, also across campaign periods to identify impact through time. It is implementations of the Job Run (layer 1) workflows that are structured complete a problem set or solution across a time period.
We described a layer above the application layer (2) that describes use cases that use the application in potential different ways. This also allowed the entry of environment based entities and tasks that impact a given workflow and also allow impact of scale and processing decisions. At this level we can describe time, volume and speed requirements.
When looking at an application WF we started with what we called layer 2 – The Application Characterization layer. Data elements were added to characterize relationships. This example shows 2 applications. The other observation was that characterizing at this level was too general – a use case is necessary to assess how an application relates to specific environment and stress points. Data collection templates were put together to collect and document the description.
Why are the Layers Important?

• Provides context – A Holistic View
  – Where do I fit in the big picture and what am I used for
  – What do I need and what constraints do I have

• If assessment is done across all layers – you can identify where there are bottlenecks, economic and resource utilization opportunities

• Allow for communication (people/machine) based on the layer(s) you are assessing
Initial effort – Information for Workflow Whitepaper for Crossroads (2020) RFP
Characterizing what is happening in the Wild..

What are Users really doing?

- Focused on Campaign 9 on Cielo, 8/29/15 – 2/29/16
- Characterized layer 0 and 1 with LANL users
- Included project suites – EAP, LAP, Silverton, VPIC
Page excerpts from VPIC workflow collection process

**Use case - VPIC - Cielo campaigns,**
**Layer 1, Key Initiator: Lin Yin**

**Name:** VPIC - Vector Particle-In-Cell. It is a fixed regular mesh, fixed time step, Cartesian grid <x,y,z>, Job slice = time slice, memory/node = particles/cell (10^4 - 12^5). Given simulation is ~ 1 week with multiple runs. Runs are put in at 6 hr segments mainly to get better throughput. Has excellent weak scaling and can scale well on any machine. Also parameters for run can be used to utilize memory available.

**Campaign usage model**
VPIC normally included on Cielo campaigns, ~10% of cielo allocation. In normal 6 month campaign it will use initial 4 months for C1 runs, which are at 1000s of core level of which there may be 10 – 15 simulation runs at approximately 1 week per run. The last 2 months, C2 runs, are at the 70,000-core scale with approximately 2 simulation runs.

**Applications Involved**
- VPIC setup
- VPIC
- Time slice analysis - IDL
- Ensite

**Environment:**
Cielo for P1, P2, P3, P4 can be local machine, P5 on viz resources.

**Usage / Scale**
Parameter: _Typical_ _X_; _Here X_ _Other_

---

**Data ref #:**
A - P2
P2 - A1 - B/C/E

**App:** VPIC

**Machine / scale / nodes:**
Run on Cielo
C1 - 1000s cores
C2 - 70,000 cores

**App / Phase:**
- Description
- Goal / approach
- Why scale

**Given simulation is ~ 1 week with multiple runs. Runs are put in at 6 hr segments mainly to get better throughput. Has excellent weak scaling and can scale well on any machine. Also parameters for run can be used to utilize memory available.**

**Timing:**
- Walltime
- Per job cycle
- Interface pts

**In normal 6 month campaign it will use initial 4 months for C1 runs, which are at 1000s of core level of which there may be 10 – 15 simulation runs at approximately 1 week per run. The last 2 months, C2 runs, are at the 70,000-core scale with approximately 2 simulation runs.**

**Restart Dump:**
- 2 checkpoints per 6 hour run, one file 9(B), 2GBs per node, uses odd/even process for retention, never saved to HPSS. Keep ~ 6 ckpts in arrears. Snapshot data (E), 8-10 GBs per node.

**Data analysis file (C) for visualization (ensight):**
- ~3GB files each for field, and each hydro species (at least 2 species/run)
- ~10k files of each type/time slice.
- Typically, ~400 time slices stored.
- Data are stored on HPSS.

**Data:**
- Desc
- Size
- Access pattern

**Snapshot data** - collected per node, 8-10 GBs per, contains time slices and are stitched together and down selected at the end of a 6 hour run for analysis. Kept through a simulation run (1 week) and all stitched together at that time. Retention is HPSS a few years. On scratch, kept only about a month or two.

**Data Analysis file** - same as Ensite sizes above, typically down-selected. Small portion will be archived to HPSS. File reduced through decimation [in this another task] - Data reduction is typically run serially in batch mode. Typically runs ~40 hours total for all files generated by a run.

**Mem / Storage:**
- Mem used
- Storage used
- Patterns

**Memory** - uses all. Parameters at initiation can be chosen to optimize memory available

---

UNCLASSIFIED - LA-UR-16-22673

Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy’s NNSA
Summary Application WFs

1. LANL VPIE workflow
   1.1. Description

VPIE is a three-dimensional, relativistic, electromagnetic particle-in-cell code, which uses an explicit time integration scheme to solve a variety of plasma physics problems on a structured mesh. VPIE is currently a mixture of about 48,000 lines of C and Fortran code. VPIE currently exploits parallelism in three distinct ways. First, there is a distributed memory strategy, which uses asynchronous MPI calls to hide inter-node communication latencies. MPI can be used at the node level, core level or hardware thread level. Second, there is a thread level implementation with PhYPRE, which will allow use of threads on a node at either the core level or hardware thread level. Finally, there is a vectorization level, which is implemented as a lightweight vector class wrapper, which can use either a portable implementation or a platform specific hardware intrinsic implementation. VPIE is specially designed to use single precision floating point calculations in order to optimize use of the available memory bandwidth. VPIE is used to perform simulations of astrophysical plasmas, laser-plasma interaction for ICF plasmas, relativistic laser-plasma interaction for laser-based accelerators, and first-principles studies of the mixing of dense plasma. At this time, VPIE only uses low level libraries such as MPI, PhYPRE and vendor specific hardware intrinsics.

1.2. Campaign workload:

A normal simulation run is approximately 1 week made up of multiple job submittals. A 6 month campaign would include 4 months of smaller jobs (1000s of jobs) and final 2 months target approximately 2 simulation runs of larger (70,000 core) jobs. Target 10% approximate run on an ATS size machine.
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1 Introduction
The Department of Energy (DOE) compute facilities operate as resources for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) and Office of Science. In this document we describe a subset of scientific workflows which are run on current platforms. There is significant commonality to how Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Nevada National Security Site (NNSS), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC) operate and conduct scientific inquiries with supercomputers. In addition to presenting the commonality between the sites, we believe that opportunities exist to significantly optimize the operations of our facilities and more rapidly advance our scientific goals. In describing how our scientific workflows move from conception to realization we believe that we can enable significant improvements to how computer architectures support real scientific workflows. Briefly, in order for a team of scientists to access the large supercomputers at these facilities, the team must be granted an allocation. Allocation has for a fixed amount of time (usually 6 or 12 months) and a large number of teams will have overlapping allocations meaning that a large amount of work is available in the scheduling queue. During the allocation, the scientists will construct workflows as described in this document. Many simultaneous allocations may use identical workflows with different data and scientific goals.

1.1 Computational Allocation Workflows

Included below are workflow diagrams that demonstrate two classes of computational science workflows: large-scale scientific simulation and data-intensive workflows (which are further divided into large-scale Uncertainty Quantification (UQ) and High Throughput Computing (HTC)). The large rectangle at the top of the figure shows the data sets generated throughout the workflow and the time scale during which the data is retained. In particular, we have differentiated three time scales of interest: temporal, campaign, and forever. Data generated on temporary time scales includes checkpoints and analysis data sets that are produced by the application, but the domain scientist will eventually discard (usually at job completion, or when a later data processing step completes). The campaign time scale describes data that is generated and used throughout the execution of the entire scientific workflow. Once the allocation is complete the scientist will discard the data. Finally, we consider the data retention period labeled forever. Forever time scale data will persist longer than the machine used to generate the data. This includes a small number of checkpoint data sets; however, this is primarily the analysis data sets generated by the application and re-processed...
Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy's NNSA

Provided the basis for discussions with users and is opening interactions with users and development teams as we ask technical questions and validate.

Where is this taking us..

- Workflow co-design – HPC integration team / vendor / code developer / user
- Validation……..
- Continued characterization and collection of workflow data
- Scoping future workflow

We become further enlightened as we compare notes and track what we are doing

Communication/Understanding

Reality..

Monitoring…

Build on knowledge, roadmaps, and assess and track transition

WF Performance
### Workflow Performance

#### What are important metrics for each layer?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection approaches</th>
<th>For jobs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Pull data from data bases summarized for historic runs</td>
<td>- Requirements across time. Scale, checkpoint, data read/written, Data needs over time, overall power, other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- What is collected from each run – job level information. App and system – integrated and tracked.</td>
<td>- Requirements for job run. Data movement, checkpoint and local needs, data analysis process, data management. Multiple job tracking, resource integration into system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- During run of app, mainly from within app- data, phases – integrated with system data for environmental perspective.</td>
<td>- Memory use, BB utilization, differences between packages in app, time step transition, analysis/preparation of data for analysis, IO, traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- During run of app, mainly from within app – more intrusive collection. Performance, algorithm, architecture, compiler impact etc.</td>
<td>- Detailed measurements traditionally done through instrumentation and traditional tools such as TAU, HPC Toolkit, Open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How about the Data Stack?

Simple Data Stack from Application perspective

- Archive
- Campaign Layer
- Storage Layer
- Network
- Near Storage Layer - NVRAM
- Memory Layer

Is there a Taxonomy needed for the Data Stack

- Load and bleed APIs
- Distributed computation
- Transactions / resilience
- Global namespace
- Data Services based on usage models
- Data inflight ingestion and analysis
- Etc.
Parting Thoughts

- The **workflow taxonomy** allows us to build a map and provides knowledge requirements
  - Being done for Application stack - is there a similar one for system environment?
- The **workflow performance** allows us to identify collection points and identify data needs
- Technology roadmaps are driving a transition in the economics of computing infrastructures
- The resulting view provides a data driven environment to **drive architecture and application decisions** regarding balance and optimization
Thanks for Listening!

Questions..